PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

DUE DILIGENCE REPORT

Acquisition of an agrivoltaic project, Northern Italy (plain), location confidential · **SAMPLE CASE**

TARGET

AGRISOLE PADANA S.R.L.

Tax code / VAT confidential (demonstrative case) · Company register no. confidential

Plant type	Ground-mounted agrivoltaic plant, single-axis N-S tracker, bifacial modules
Nominal power	5,855 kWp DC / 5,100 kW AC (5,720 kW MV injection)
Location	Municipality confidential, Northern Italy plain · sheets and parcels confidential
Expected production	~10,100 MWh/year (1,728 kWh/kWp, PR 90.0%, PVsyst/PVGIS) · demonstrative value
Permit	PAS (Legislative Decree 190/2024), filed, outcome not yet documented in the VDR (integrated re-filing)
Suitable-area attestation	Invoked under art. 20 c.8 lett. c-ter.1 Legislative Decree 199/2021 (agricultural area); the Municipality deems the intervention admissible in the Services Conference
Grid connection	MV 15 kV, TICA code confidential, area reference PS (antenna)
Primary substation	Existing, reference PS (confidential)
Lead party (shared plant)	n/a, dedicated solution
Report date	June 2026

Demonstrative case for illustration (FACSIMILE). Company names, location, personal names and economic values are fictitious or masked and not traceable to any real project; the analysis structure, weights and benchmarks are ReNow proprietary method not reproduced in this document.

FACSIMILE

This document is strictly confidential. It is intended for the addressee only and may not be distributed, reproduced or used without the prior written consent of the author.

FAC-SIMILE

1. Executive Summary

This Due Diligence report is drawn up in relation to a potential M&A transaction concerning the company **AGRISOLE PADANA S.R.L.** and, indirectly, the agrivoltaic project it owns in a municipality of the Northern Italy plain (location confidential). The analysis covers the documentation made available at the report date and addresses the legal, technical-permitting and administrative-financial aspects of the project, with a perimeter limited to the data room provided. **Confidence Score 6/10**, capping applied for consistency with the Deal Convention (ref. LV0), under proprietary logic.

Key points summary

The project (5,855 kWp DC, 5,720 kW MV injection) shows a very advanced connection chain: TICA quote accepted with a self-build option, transfer to the SPV completed and final design of the connection works validated by the DSO. The permitting process (PAS under Legislative Decree 190/2024) is pending: after the Municipality's request for integrations and the suspension of terms, the integrated project was re-filed; the outcome is not documented in the VDR. The dossier includes ten clearances/opinions from authorities already obtained.

Due Diligence summary rating

RISK AREA	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
Legal DD, Corporate	● **MEDIUM**	SPV identity documented by PAS forms and preliminary deeds (personal data confidential). Absent in the VDR: company register extract, articles of association, financial statements. Descriptive discrepancy on powers (Sole Director vs Chairman of the Board) to resolve with an updated extract. Chain with the Investor documented only by the DSA.
Legal DD, Land	● **HIGH**	Availability of the 17 parcels (~9.4 ha) entirely on preliminary sale agreements. The multi-seller notarial preliminary (24 months) is expiring subject to a six-month extension on written request, not present in the VDR. One preliminary with a 12-month term for the notarial repetition has lapsed with no deed in the VDR. A further preliminary present only as an unsigned draft. No final deed executed.

FAC-SIMILE

RISK AREA	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
Permitting DD	● **MEDIUM**	PAS under art. 8 Legislative Decree 190/2024 on E3 agricultural area; simplified Services Conference started, integrations requested by the Municipality and integrated re-filing. Outcome/admissibility not documented in the VDR ~11 months after re-filing. Ten clearances obtained; simultaneous simplified landscape application. The local PV ban is overridden by invoking art. 20 c.8 c-ter.1 Legislative Decree 199/2021; the Municipality deems the intervention admissible.
Technical DD, Power line	● **MEDIUM**	Final design of the connection works validated by the DSO. MV cable duct ~6.05 km with multiple censused interferences: clearances from oil-pipeline operator, gas-pipeline operator, water network operator, local gas network operator, Province (road works), Superintendence, Air Force, Army, mining authority obtained; land-reclamation consortium and State Property outcomes not in the VDR. Parcel plans drawn up; preliminary easements signed.
Financial DD	● **HIGH**	Financial statements, accounting situations and bank movements of the SPV not available in the VDR; financial assessment not feasible on the current documentation. Project CAPEX documented by the BoQ (~€ 4.7 M, demonstrative value). Payment evidence (deposits, TICA considerations) not present.
Environmental DD	● **LOW**	Seismic zone 4 (very low hazard); area in PAI band C with low flood probability (Tr 500 years); water table at ~10 m. The Superintendence attests the absence of constraints on the plant area and a favourable VPIA opinion with prescriptions. Agrivoltaic requirements (MASE/CREA-GSE Guidelines) verified in the design; decommissioning plan, spoil management and perimeter mitigations integrated at the Municipality's request.
Permitting DD, Power line	● **MEDIUM**	Authorization of the connection works handled within the PAS perimeter with a simultaneous simplified landscape application (Presidential Decree 31/2017); the DSO awaits the start of the formal authorization procedure under TICA. State-property occupations and irrigation crossings with applications filed (outcomes not in the VDR). Municipality (soil disturbance) authorized.

FACSIMILE

2. M&A risk matrix

The following matrix summarizes the main risks identified, with the residual level and possible mitigations.

RISK AREA	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION AND MITIGATION
Land preliminaries expiry	● **HIGH**	Multi-seller notarial preliminary (24 months) expiring subject to a six-month written extension, not in the VDR; for one preliminary the 12 months for the notarial repetition have lapsed; a further preliminary is an unsigned draft. **Mitigation:** obtain written extensions/seller confirmations, notarial repetition and a signed copy; insert a condition precedent in the SPA.
PAS outcome not documented	● **HIGH**	~11 months after the integrated re-filing there is no admissibility attestation, Services Conference closing minutes or publication in the VDR. PAS perfection is the DSA cardinal milestone and conditions the RtB classification. **Mitigation:** obtain the procedure status from the SUAP and condition closing to a perfected, unappealable permit.
STMG validity lapsed without permit	● **MEDIUM**	The 210 working days of STMG validity (art. 33.2 TICA) from acceptance have lapsed without a permit: the technical solution is indicative. **Mitigation:** activate the persistence check under art. 33.5-33.6 and obtain written DSO confirmation (the final-design validation is a favourable element).
Local planning compatibility (E3)	● **MEDIUM**	The PRGC rules prohibit solar exploitation in the E3 zone where the plant falls. The project relies on the prevalence of the national suitable-areas regime (art. 20 c.8 c-ter.1 Legislative Decree 199/2021) and the Municipality's favourable stance; a 2025 Council of State ruling removed the regional restrictions. An interpretation and challenge risk remains. **Mitigation:** await the lapse of the challenge terms (DSA milestones M3-M4).
Regional regulatory evolution (suitable areas)	● **MEDIUM**	The regional suitable-areas bill (in Council, SEA started) introduces caps on eligible agricultural surfaces and anti-fragmentation rules. Application to pending procedures is not defined. **Mitigation:** monitor the process and accelerate permit perfection.
Permitting times and regional backlog	● **MEDIUM**	The procedure is active in a regional context with hundreds of RES applications in backlog. Risk of further slippage toward the DSA RtB long-stop. **Mitigation:** formal reminders and oversight of the services conference; to structure in the deal.
Multi-interference cable-duct execution	● **MEDIUM**	The MV route ~6.05 km crosses an oil pipeline and a gas pipeline, gas network, water network, irrigation channels and provincial roads. The obtained clearances contain executive prescriptions; some outcomes are not in the VDR. **Mitigation:** complete the missing consents and reflect the prescriptions in the EPC contract.

FACSIMILE

RISK AREA	LEVEL	DESCRIPTION AND MITIGATION
Challenging yield assumptions	● **MEDIUM**	The PVsyst simulation indicates 1,728 kWh/kWp with PR 90.0%: a high value for the area even for a bifacial tracker (indicative benchmark 1,400-1,550 kWh/kWp). Risk of revenue overestimate. **Mitigation:** independent yield assessment during confirmatory technical DD.
Unreconciled economic framework	● **MEDIUM**	The BoQ covers the plant only; the cost of the self-built grid works and the land considerations are not consolidated into a single economic framework nor supported by payment evidence. **Mitigation:** request an updated business plan and economic framework with bank evidence.
Textual discrepancy in the development contract	● **LOW**	In the DSA the English text and the Italian text (prevailing by express clause) report different development fees. **Mitigation:** a recognitive side letter at closing.

FAC-SIMILE

3. Exogenous risks

Overall risk index: MEDIUM. VIGIA check distribution: 0 critical · 4 medium · 6 green · 17 undetermined (reduced web-only scan). Scoring weights and metric: ReNow proprietary method · *not reproduced*

Top exogenous red flags

- **New regional suitable-areas regime under approval** regional bill implementing the national framework, now before the Council with SEA started: cap on eligible agricultural areas and anti-fragmentation rules. Source: regional acts, sector press.
- **Regional permitting backlog** hundreds of PV plants blocked in the Region according to industry-association sources, with a significant share of installed capacity not yet connected. Consistent with the pending application. Source: economic press.
- **Annulment of regional restrictions** a 2025 Council of State ruling annulled the regional resolutions on agricultural areas of high agronomic value: the restrictions no longer apply to ongoing procedures. Favourable element. Source: legal sector press.
- **Residual hydraulic risk (band C)** the area falls in PAI band C: low flood probability (Tr 500 years) with modest depths; water table at ~10 m below ground level. Source: project geological report.
- **Very low seismicity** municipality in seismic zone 4: very low hazard. Source: project geological report.
- **No local opposition detected** no web evidence of committees or campaigns against the project in the site municipality. The Municipality deems the intervention admissible while requiring mitigations. Source: web search + Services Conference acts.
- **Existing primary substation, dedicated solution** antenna connection to the existing PS; no PS reinforcement in the STMG; no solution shared with other producers (lead party n/a). Zone capacity not verifiable without APIs. Source: TICA quote + connection report.
- **Project operators active in the Italian market** Investor (foreign group active in Italy, development portfolio) and Developer (PV projects in Northern Italy). Details confidential. Source: sector press.

FACSIMILE

4. Recommendations

4.1 Overall judgment

The agrivoltaic project shows an advanced-stage connection chain (STMG accepted with self-build, transfer completed, final design validated by the DSO) and a complete, well-documented permitting dossier (ten clearances, precise integrations, agrivoltaic compliance with the Guidelines).

The maturity classification is **MID-LATE DEVELOPMENT (Awaiting Authorization)**: PAS perfection is not documented in the VDR and the land framework, entirely on preliminaries, shows lapsed or expiring terms not documentarily cured. The transaction is pursuable by conditioning closing to: a perfected, unappealable permit, regularization of the preliminaries, confirmation of STMG persistence and accounting disclosure of the SPV.

4.2 Main recommended pre-closing conditions

- SUAP attestation of the procedure status and PAS perfection (with lapse of the challenge terms).
- Written extension/confirmation of the multi-seller notarial preliminary and notarial repetition of the preliminary with a lapsed term.
- Signed copy of the preliminaries present only as drafts.
- Confirmation of STMG persistence under art. 33.5-33.6 TICA by the DSO.
- Updated company register extract, articles of association and financial statements/accounting situation of the SPV.
- Land-reclamation consortium, State-property occupation and simplified landscape outcomes.
- Twenty-year cadastral/mortgage searches of the 17 parcels.

4.3 Additional documents to request

- SUAP attestation of PAS perfection/status and services conference minutes.
- Written extensions of the preliminaries and notarial repetition deed.
- Missing preliminaries in signed copy.
- STMG persistence confirmation letter (DSO / procedure manager).
- Updated company register extract, articles of association and SPV financial statements.
- Land-reclamation consortium / State Property / simplified landscape outcomes.
- Twenty-year cadastral and mortgage searches of the parcels.

FACSIMILE

5. Legal Due Diligence, corporate profile

5.1 Company data

Company name	AGRISOLE PADANA S.R.L.
Legal form	Limited liability company (S.r.l.)
Registered office	Milan (address confidential)
Tax code / VAT	Confidential (demonstrative case)
Company register no.	Confidential
Share capital / incorporation	Not available in the VDR, register extract to obtain at closing
Legal representative	Name confidential (personal data not reproduced in the facsimile)
Sole shareholder	Ownership not documented in the VDR; the DSA is signed by the foreign Investor
Certified email (PEC)	Confidential
Last extract obtained	No company register extract present in the VDR

5.2 Control structure, corporate chain

AGRISOLE PADANA S.R.L. is the special-purpose vehicle holding the connection application and the PAS application. The legal representative signs the PAS application, the availability declarations and the DSA. The Development Service Agreement is signed, on the investor side, by the foreign Investor together with the SPV; the participation chain between Investor and SPV is not documented by register extracts in the data room. Deeper analysis of the ownership in a dedicated balanced-DD phase. No shareholder loan agreements or evidence of disbursements to the SPV appear in the data room. The documented outlays are limited to the deposits of the land preliminaries (demonstrative amounts).

5.3 Governance and signing powers

In the deeds the legal representative's role is stated as Sole Director in some documents and as Chairman of the Board in others: the composition of the administrative body is to be resolved with an updated register extract. For

FACSIMILE

the PAS application the SPV granted a special power of attorney to the appointed designer; for the notarial preliminary a special attorney acted under a power of attorney from the director.

5.4 Shareholder financing / development contract (DSA)

The Development Service Agreement between Investor, SPV and Developer governs the development services toward the RtB status: consideration of corrispettivo proprietario · *confidential* per MWp for the Qualified Capacity, structured into five milestones (confidential amounts), 36-month RtB long-stop from signing net of authority delays and adjustment for attributable delays. Note: the English and Italian texts of the DSA report different fees; the Italian text prevails by express clause.

5.5 Critical points / corporate legal recommendations

- Register extract, articles of association and financial statements of the SPV not present in the data room: obtain before closing.
- Descriptive discrepancy on the administrative body (Sole Director vs Chairman of the Board): resolve with a register extract.
- Investor-SPV participation chain documented only by the DSA: obtain register extracts/ownership.
- EN/IT discrepancy on the development fee in the DSA: a recognitive side letter is recommended.
- Payment evidence (deposits, any 30% TICA) not present: obtain bank records.

FAC-SIMILE

6. Legal Due Diligence, land aspects

6.1 Cadastral identification of the areas

The compendium is made up of **17 parcels** (sheets and numbers confidential) for an occupied surface of about **9.4 ha**, in E3 agricultural zone (prevailing quality paddy field, class U). The delivery cabin sits on one parcel of the compendium; the power-line easements affect two adjoining parcels.

PARCEL	SURFACE (APPROX.)	CADASTRAL QUALITY	ZONING	ACQUISITION STATUS
P-01	1,270 m²	Arable/paddy	E3	Seller A preliminary, unsigned draft in the VDR
P-02	6,550 m²	Arable/paddy	E3	Seller A preliminary, unsigned draft in the VDR
P-03	1,700 m²	Paddy	E3	Seller B preliminary
P-04	1,000 m²	Paddy	E3	Seller B preliminary
P-05…P-11	~3.95 ha tot.	Paddy class U	E3	Notarial preliminary (Seller A lot), expiry to regularize
P-12	4,550 m²	Paddy class U	E3	Notarial preliminary (Seller C lot)
P-13	12,360 m²	Paddy class U	E3	Notarial preliminary (Seller A lot)
P-14	12,000 m²	Paddy class U	E3	Notarial preliminary (Seller D lot)
P-15 / P-16	~5,530 m²	Paddy class U	E3	Notarial preliminary (Seller E lot)
P-17	9,480 m²	Agricultural	E3	Seller F preliminary signed, notarial repetition lapsed

6.2 Deed analysis and twenty-year report

No final sale deed has been executed; the dedicated folder in the data room is empty, consistent with the pre-permit stage. Twenty-year reports and mortgage searches are not present in the data room; for the notarial preliminary the transcriptions at the competent Registry are recorded (confidential).

FACSIMILE

6.3 Preliminary agreements

Availability is governed by four preliminary sale agreements: (i) a multi-seller notarial preliminary for several lots, 24-month effect with a six-month extension on written request; (ii) a Seller F preliminary, signed, with an obligation of notarial repetition within 12 months; (iii) a Seller A preliminary for two parcels, present only as an unsigned draft; (iv) a Seller B preliminary for two parcels. Total land consideration ~€ 0.52 M · *demonstrative value* (≈ 55.8 k€/ha). The preliminaries are unilateral in favour of the buyer and suspensively conditioned on obtaining the authorizations.

6.4 Power-line easement and critical points

For the connection works, preliminary power-line easement agreements are signed on two adjoining parcels (deeds in the data room, in scan format).

- Multi-seller notarial preliminary: 24 months running; obtain the written six-month extension request or seller confirmations.
- Seller F preliminary: the 12-month term for the public-form repetition has lapsed with no deed in the VDR.
- Seller A preliminary: only an unsigned draft in the VDR; the availability declaration presumes the deed was executed.
- Cadastral/mortgage searches and twenty-year reports absent.

FAC-SIMILE

7. Permitting Due Diligence

7.1 Authorizing permit

Procedure	PAS, Simplified Enabling Procedure (art. 8 and Annex B, Legislative Decree 190/2024) + simplified landscape application (Presidential Decree 31/2017)
Filing date	Integrated re-filing (first application in a dedicated SUAP file) · date confidential
Competent authority	Site Municipality (associated SUAP), confidential
Procedure manager	SUAP manager (name confidential)
Release date	Pending, outcome not documented in the VDR
Publication	Not yet published, permit not perfected at the report date
Injection power	5,720 kW

Permitting timeline (illustrative): PAS application filing for an agrivoltaic plant ~5.8 MWp · start of the procedure and convening of the asynchronous simplified decision-making Services Conference · local gas network operator clearance with prescriptions · Municipality request for documentary integrations · suspension of terms · favourable preliminary Province opinion for road works.

7.2 Rejection notice and integrations

No rejection notices under art. 10-bis of Law 241/1990 appear in the data room. The procedure recorded a Municipality request for documentary integrations and clarifications, with a suspension of terms. The requests were addressed with the integrated package filed (eight topics: land availability, E3 planning, perimeter mitigations, agronomic surveys, decommissioning, spoil, compensations, road restorations).

7.3 Zoning and area suitability

The intervention falls entirely within an agricultural PRGC zone whose NTA article prohibits solar energy exploitation. The proponent bases admissibility on the national suitable-areas regime (art. 20 c.8 lett. c-ter.1 Legislative Decree 199/2021) and on the agrivoltaic nature of the plant. In the same conference the Municipality, while deeming the intervention admissible, required perimeter mitigations and the strengthening of ecological connections, taken up in the integrated documents. A 2025 Council of State ruling, annulling the regional resolutions, removed the regional restrictions applicable in the meantime.

FACSIMILE

7.4 VINCA and critical points

The plant area does not affect Natura 2000 sites; the Superintendence attested the absence of cultural constraints on the area and issued a favourable opinion with prescriptions following the preventive archaeological interest verification (VPIA).

- Publication of perfection not available: required for DSA milestone M2 and the running of the challenge terms.
- PV ban in the E3 zone: interpretation/challenge risk mitigated by the Municipality's favourable stance and 2025 case law.

FAC-SIMILE

8. Technical Due Diligence, connection and power line

8.1 Connection solution

Traceability code	Confidential
Grid operator	Distributor (DSO)
Voltage level	MV 15,000 V
TICA applicant	Original applicant company (confidential), transferred to AGRISOLE PADANA S.R.L. with positive outcome
Quote acceptance	Accepted, with a self-build option for the grid works under art. 16 TICA
30% down payment	~€ 132 k · *demonstrative value* due on acceptance, payment evidence not present in the VDR
Total connection amount	~€ 440 k + VAT (distributor-build option) · demonstrative value
Solution validity (art. 33 TICA)	210 working days from acceptance lapsed without a permit: persistence check under art. 33.5-33.6 to activate
Final design	Validated by the DSO (positive outcome), awaiting the start of the authorization procedure
Buried cable-duct length	≈ 6.05 km
Aerial line	No new aerial line (re-closing on an existing pole)

8.2 Power-line authorization status

The solution provides a new delivery cabin (code confidential) connected as antenna to the existing Primary Substation via a buried MV 15 kV cable duct of about 6.05 km (4,910 m on asphalt, 690 m on soil, 450 m in the same trench), with a re-closing point on an existing pole.

FACSIMILE

AUTHORITY / PARTY	AUTHORIZATION TYPE	STATUS
Oil-pipeline operator	Interference clearance	● Released
Gas-pipeline operator	Clearance with prescriptions	● Released
Water network operator	Interference clearance	● Released
Local gas network operator	Clearance with prescriptions	● Released
Province, roads	Favourable preliminary road-works opinion	● Released
Superintendence (SABAP)	Absence of constraints + VPIA opinion (prescriptions)	● Released
Air Force	Clearance	● Released
Army	No objection	● Released
Mining authority (UNMIG/MASE)	Mining clearance (self-certification)	● Fulfilled
Land-reclamation consortium	Irrigation-crossing clearance	● Pending, outcome not in the VDR
State Property Agency	Concession/occupation of State-property areas	● Pending, outcome not in the VDR
Municipality (soil disturbance)	Road disturbance request	● Pending, outcome not in the VDR

FACSIMILE

8.3 Route observations

The route crosses multiple infrastructures, an oil and a gas pipeline, gas network, water network, land-reclamation channels, provincial roads and telecom networks, all censused in the interference tables with related clearances obtained, in several cases with executive prescriptions to reflect in the EPC. 30% TICA consideration: payment evidence not present in the VDR; grid-works commissioning charges due on submission of the executive design (self-build).

9. Technical Due Diligence, plant

9.1 Technical design features

Nominal power (DC)	5,855 kWp
Injection power (AC)	5,100 kW (17 × 300 kW)
Module technology	Bifacial PV modules ~680 Wp (crystalline silicon)
Number of modules	~8,610 bifacial modules
Inverters	17 string inverters of 300 kW on 4 sub-fields, with LV boards and 1,600 kVA 800/15,000 V transformers
DC/AC ratio	1.148
Tracking system	Single-axis roll tracker, N-S axis, ±45° travel, backtracking
Simulation software	PVsyst on PVGIS TMY data (designer license)

9.2 Expected energy production

PARAMETER	VALUE
Energy produced	~10,117 MWh/year (demonstrative value)
Specific production	1,728 kWh/kWp/year
Performance Ratio (PR)	90.0 %
Albedo	0.20
ReNow area benchmark	1,400-1,550 kWh/kWp · *proprietary reference*

The expected production (1,728 kWh/kWp, PR 90.0%) sits above the area's typical benchmarks for a bifacial tracker: an independent yield assessment is recommended.

FACSIMILE

9.3 Technical documents and critical points

The filed project comprises a complete set of documents: general design (report, BoQ, decommissioning and restoration plan, mitigations, site logistics, tables), electrical design (technical report with PVsyst simulation, plant calculations, EMF, specifications, tables), agronomic design, geological report, spoil management, vegetation analysis and connection-works design (validated by the DSO).

- Plan yield above the area benchmark: provide an independent yield assessment.
- TICA injection power (5,720 kW) higher than the installed AC power (5,100 kW): lawful margin, to reconcile in the operating regulation.
- Agrivoltaic requirements (A1 ≥70% agricultural surface; LAOR ≤40%) verified in the design: keep compliance in execution.
- Executive structural tables and supply choices (module/inverter brand) not yet defined in the PAS documents.

FAC-SIMILE

10. Administrative-financial Due Diligence

10.1 Financial framework

SPV financial statements and accounting situations not available in the VDR: balance sheet and income statement not reconstructable at the report date. Outlays documented in the deeds: preliminary deposits (demonstrative amounts).

ITEM	VALUE	NOTE
Plant CAPEX (BoQ)	~€ 4.7 M	Excluding VAT, administrative charges, compensations and technical costs · demonstrative value
Connection (self-build)	~€ 0.84 M + VAT	DSO reimbursement ~€ 0.40 M + VAT · alternatively ~€ 0.44 M to distributor
Land consideration	~€ 0.52 M	Agreed in the preliminaries · demonstrative value
Development fee (DSA)	corrispettivo proprietario · *confidential*	Per milestone · proprietary consideration not reproduced
Total economic framework	~€ 6.1-6.4 M · *indicative*	Plant + net connection + land + dev fee · to consolidate in a business plan

10.2 Tax compliance, bank account, shareholder financing

The data room does not contain financial statements, accounting situations, tax returns or bank statements of the SPV: the status of tax and accounting compliance is not verifiable. No bank statement present; bank movements not reconstructable. No shareholder loan agreement or disbursement documented in the VDR.

- Total absence of the SPV's accounting-financial documentation in the VDR.
- Payment evidence (deposits, 30% TICA) not present.
- Economic framework not consolidated across BoQ, connection and land.
- Insurance coverage not documented.

FACSIMILE

End of the Due Diligence report

Appendix, Provenance Manifest

Extraction by the ReNow proprietary engine (ARGO). Audit trail with a hash per document: real values and file names confidential in the facsimile.

ANALYZED FILE (VDR)	CATEGORY	PP.	SHA-256 HASH (AUDIT)
Document_grid_01.pdf	grid	35	confidential
Document_grid_02.pdf	grid	6	confidential
Document_land_01.pdf	land	114	confidential
Document_land_02.pdf	land	11	confidential
Document_permitting_01.pdf	permitting	12	confidential
Document_technical_01.pdf	technical	83	confidential
Document_economic_BoQ.pdf	economic	22	confidential
Document_corporate_DSA.pdf	corporate	46	confidential

Document prepared on the basis of the documentation provided. The analysis does not replace the opinion of a qualified lawyer, tax advisor or engineer. Demonstrative case (FACSIMILE): data and values fictitious or masked; structure, weights and benchmarks are ReNow proprietary method. Preparation date: June 2026.

FACSIMILE